



Review

Breast cancer genetic susceptibility: With focus in Saudi Arabia

Fawaz D. Alshammari

Department of Clinical Laboratory, College of Applied Medical Sciences, University of Hail, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 December 2018

Received in revised form

29 January 2019

Accepted 5 February 2019

Available online 14 February 2019

Keywords:

Breast cancer susceptibility

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

Breast cancer genetics

Hereditary breast cancer

ABSTRACT

In recent years there have been important advances in molecular genetics and linkage analysis of the breast cancer. Beside germline BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations, and somatic genetic alterations, epigenetic alterations in numerous genes play an essential role in the tumorigenesis of breast cancer. TP53, STK11, PTEN, CDH1, NF1 or NBN mutations are associated with high breast cancer associated syndromes. Mutations in DNA repair associated genes (ATM, CHEK2, BRIP1, PALB2 and RAD50) are associated with increased breast cancer risk. Moreover, several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were considered as breast cancer susceptibility polymorphisms within genes (FGFR2, TOX3, LSP1, MAP3K1, and TGFB1). This review discusses breast cancer genetic susceptibility, highlights recent advances in breast cancer genetics, with a particular focus in Saudi women.

© 2019 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Turkish Society of Medical Oncology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Cancer is the 2nd leading cause of death worldwide. Up-to-date estimates on cancer burden require an urgent need for cancer control planning. Breast cancer is the most frequent females' cancer with 2.4 million cases. This cancer was also the leading cause of cancer deaths and disability for women with 523 000 deaths and 15.1 million years lived with disability (YLDs).¹ Breast cancer characterized by its molecular and clinical heterogeneity. Genic profiling studies have categorized breast cancers into five subtypes: luminal A, luminal B, HER-2 overexpressing, basal-like, and normal breast-like. Although clinical dissimilarities between subtypes have been well defined in the literature, etiologic heterogeneity have not been fully deliberated.² Breast cancer influenced hormonal factors such as age, parity, age at first full term birth, breast feeding and hormone replacement therapy has strong relation with luminal breast cancer subtype. Hormone replacement therapy is usually associated with the risk of breast cancer overexpressing HER2. Triple negative breast cancer subtype is commonly in obese, particularly premenopausal women.³

A hereditary predisposition to breast cancer chiefly affects screening and follow-up endorsements for women at high-risk. But, in patients with a suggestive personal and/or family history, a specific predisposing gene is identified in <30% of cases. Up to

25% of hereditary cases are caused by a mutation in one of the few demonstrated uncommon, but highly penetrant genes (BRCA1, BRCA2, PTEN, TP53, CDH1, and STK11), which award up to an 80% lifetime risk of breast cancer.⁴ An extra 2%–3% of cases are related to a mutation in an infrequent, moderate-penetrance gene (e.g. CHEK2, BRIP1, ATM, and PALB2), each linked to a twofold upsurge in risk. Prediction models propose that there are improbable to be extra yet to be identified high-penetrance genes. Analysis of common, low-penetrance alleles contributing to risk in a polygenic mode has generated a small number of indicative single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), but the contributive risk of an individual SNP is fairly minor. Mutation analysis is presently suggested for individual genes in the suitable clinical design where there is a high index of suspicion for a specific mutated gene or syndrome. Subsequent generation sequencing offers a new setting for risk estimation. Currently, there are distinct clinical guidelines for individuals with a mutation in a high-penetrance gene. Otherwise, standard models are used to guess an individual's life time risk by clinical and family history rather than genomic information.⁵

Numerous studies have described that breast cancer risk factors seem to be related to the interaction between certain genes and exposure to various environmental factors.⁶ Breast cancer is the most common cause of cancer-related deaths among Saudi women. In 2012, BC accounted for 25.8% of all newly diagnosed cancers in the female Saudi population.⁷ This review discusses breast cancer genetic susceptibility, highlights recent advances in breast cancer

E-mail address: fawazabof@gmail.com.

Peer review under responsibility of Turkish Society of Medical Oncology.

genetics, as well as, considers publications pertaining to this issue from Saudi Arabia.

1.1. Germline mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2

Hereditary breast cancer regularly results from disturbance of the normal functions of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Germline mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* deliberate an increased lifetime risk of developing breast cancer, but inconstant penetrance proposes that cancer susceptibility is susceptible in part by modifier genes.⁸ Germline *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations, which account for 20–40% of breast cancer that clusters in with an average lifetime risk of 8–10%. Up to 15% of healthy women have no less than one first degree relative with *BRCA1* and observed data display that breast cancer risk doubles in such women. It is assumed that monogenic traits account for 5% of breast cancer overall.⁹ Mutation in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*, which gatherings in families and less than 5% of breast cancer inclusive,¹⁰ are accompanying with a high lifetime risk of up to 60–85% for breast cancer as well as a greater risk for ovarian cancer. In addition to this high risk in hereditary breast cancer, there are definite heritable syndromes related to an amplified breast cancer risk. However, more than 50% of the genetic susceptibility to familial breast cancer remains unexplained.^{11–13}

BRCA1 was the first major gene associated with hereditary breast cancer, which is located on chromosome 17. *BRCA1* gene was discovered in 1990 using linkage analysis in families with suggestive pedigrees.¹⁴ In 1994, *BRCA2* was mapped to chromosome 13.¹⁵ A mutation in either *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* deliberates an augmented breast cancer risk. Massive relocations and deletions in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* can also change the function of *BRCA*, causing an identical clinical syndrome to that seen in carriers of mutations in *BRCA* genes. The clinical syndrome seen in *BRCA* mutation carriers is referred to as the Hereditary Breast/Ovarian Cancer (HBOC) syndrome, though there are patients with this same clinical picture who are found to be negative for mutations in both *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Tumors resulting from mutations in *BRCA1* tend to be of the basal-like phenotype, have a high histologic grade, and do not commonly express the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), or human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2), the so-called triple-negative tumor.¹⁶ *BRCA2*-related tumors more closely look like sporadic tumors.¹⁷

Although, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations are inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion, but behave recessively on the cellular level as tumor suppressor genes involved in double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) break repair.¹⁸ It was well established that *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations' female carriers have a lifetime risk of 50%–85% to develop breast cancer.^{19,20} Male carries of *BRCA1* have an increased breast cancer risk, nonetheless to a minor degree than carriers of *BRCA2* who have an expected 5%–10% lifetime risk.²¹

A recent study have shown that, the cumulative breast cancer risk to age 80 years was 72% (95% CI, 65%–79%) for *BRCA1* and 69% (95% CI, 61%–77%) for *BRCA2* carriers. Breast cancer incidences increased rapidly in early adulthood until ages 30–40 years for *BRCA1* and until ages 40–50 years for *BRCA2* carriers, then remained at a similar, constant incidence (20–30 per 1000 person-years) until age 80 years. The cumulative ovarian cancer risk to age 80 years was 44% (95% CI, 36%–53%) for *BRCA1* and 17% (95% CI, 11%–25%) for *BRCA2* carriers. For contralateral breast cancer, the cumulative risk 20 years after breast cancer diagnosis was 40% (95% CI, 35%–45%) for *BRCA1* and 26% (95% CI, 20%–33%) for *BRCA2* carriers (hazard ratio [HR] for comparing *BRCA2* vs *BRCA1*, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.47–0.82; $P = .001$ for difference). Breast cancer risk increased with increasing number of first- and second-degree relatives diagnosed as having breast cancer for both *BRCA1* (HR for ≥ 2 vs 0 affected relatives, 1.99; 95% CI, 1.41–2.82; $P < .001$ for trend)

and *BRCA2* carriers (HR, 1.91; 95% CI, 1.08–3.37; $P = .02$ for trend). Breast cancer risk was higher if mutations were located outside vs within the regions bounded by positions c.2282–c.4071 in *BRCA1* (HR, 1.46; 95% CI, 1.11–1.93; $P = .007$) and c.2831–c.6401 in *BRCA2* (HR, 1.93; 95% CI, 1.36–2.74; $P < .001$).²²

In Saudi Arabia, genetic germline testing, usually performed in a blood sample on basis of family history, applying whole-exome sequencing²³ or a massively parallel sequencing technology and verified by Sanger sequencing.²⁴ However, the results of genetic germline screening still has limited utility in Saudi Arabia. It is only utilized at personalized levels. Therefore, the a need for further efforts in this context in Saudi Arabia.

In Study from Saudi Arabia performed whole-exome sequencing of seven breast cancer patients with positive family history of the disease using the Agilent SureSelect™ Whole-Exome Enrichment kit and sequencing on the SOLiD™ platform. The study identified several coding single nucleotide variations that were either novel or rare affecting genes controlling DNA repair in the *BRCA1/2* pathway. The study concluded that, the disruption of DNA repair pathways is very likely to contribute to breast cancer susceptibility in the Saudi population.²³ However, a recent study from Saudi Arabia, reported that the overall frequencies of the *BRCA* germline mutation was 10.2%.²⁴

ER, PR and HER2: The genic profiling of breast cancer is important step toward classification of breast carcinomas, treatment choice, predicting of response to treatment, and indication of risk of recurrent.²⁵ ER, HER2 receptors are the most important genes in genetic profiling and in determination of adjuvant type. These biomarkers are routinely tested for all breast carcinomas.

ER, HER2 receptors, and proliferation-related genes are the leading drivers of classification in many of the gene expression profiling tests for breast cancer. However, ER, PR) and HER2 receptor status remain crucial in defining the requirement and type of adjuvant therapy. These biomarkers are important prognostic and predictive indicator, and are routinely tested for in all invasive breast carcinomas.^{25,26}

The ER is a nuclear sex steroid receptor (SSR) that is expressed in the bulk of breast cancers.²⁷ A proximately 75% of all breast cancers express ER and/or PR, whereas up to 20% of breast cancer display an overexpression/amplification of HER2. Approximately 50% of all Her2-overexpressing breast cancer reveal the coexistence of both HER2 overexpression/amplification and ER and/or PR overexpression. Several in vitro and in vivo studies suggest the presence of a cross-talk between their downstream pathways, which appear to influence the natural history, response to therapy and outcome of patients diagnosed by this subset of breast cancer.²⁸

ER-positive breast cancer are regarded in term of prognosis as more favorable than ER-negative breast cancers, whereas HER2/neu-positive tumors are associated with poorer prognosis. With regard to the status of ER-positive and ER-negative in association with epigenetic changes in breast cancer-related genes, it was well established that there was significant differences in tumor-related gene methylation patterns relevant to ER and HER2/neu status of breast cancer.²⁹ Hyper-methylation, which is an epigenetic alteration that turns off the gene promotor region leading to gene silencing. Silencing of breast cancer related genes by hyper-methylation was reported to pay a significant role in breast cancer carcinogenesis and progression.^{30–32}

Status in KSA: Several studies has been conducted in this context with diverse findings. A pilot study to screen the main segments of the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes for disease-associated mutations in Arab and Asian women with breast cancer from the KSA has found that *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations are likely to contribute to the pathogenesis of familial breast cancer in female patients.³³ Another study to determine whether any association

exists between SNPs in breast cancer associated gene 1 (BRCA1) and breast cancer associated gene 2 (BRCA2) and the breast cancer risk. The study revealed that neither BRCA1 nor the BRCA2 studied variant indicate any significant association with the disease. The comparison of mutation profile with other ethnic groups and regions indicated both dissimilarities and similarities representing co-exposure to a single set of risk factors. The dissimilarities might be related to exposure to certain ecological; carcinogens, diverse lifestyle, reproductive pattern, dietary or cultural practices of Saudi Arabian women that require more research.³⁴ Another study have identified numerous coding single nucleotide variations that were either novel or uncommon affecting genes controlling DNA repair in the BRCA1/2 pathway. The disturbance of DNA repair pathways is very likely to contribute to breast cancer predisposition in the Saudi population.³⁵

In Saudi Arabia, studies of breast cancer gene profiling have showed a different gene expression profile compared to the same patients from North American. In one study, included analysis of breast cancer genes (BRCA1 and BRCA2 as well as 21 additional genes) both for Canadian and Saudi patients for known and unknown mutations, which have been involved in breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility. A round 44% of the mutated genes were found to be unique to the Canadian population, hence, about 34% of the mutated genes were found to be unique for Saudi population. Approximately 43% of the unique mutations in 22 genes were not previously reported in the literature. However, about 22.5% of the mutations in 16 genes were found to be common in both populations.³⁶

With regard to ER, PR and HER2; there were few studies conducted in Saudi Arabia. Breast cancer expression pattern of ER, PR and Her2 in Saudi females is different from that of Tunisian and Jordanian females population and closer to the expression pattern of Egyptian Lebanese, Iraqi and western countries females.³⁷ In a study classified 359 breast cancers into 4 molecular subtypes: luminal A (ER, or PR positive and HER2 negative), luminal B (ER and/or PR positive and HER2 positive), HER2-positive (ER and PR negative and HER2 positive), and triple negative (ER, PR, and HER2 negative). The most prevalent subtype was luminal A (58.5%), followed in descending order of frequency by triple negative (14.8%), luminal B (14.5%), and HER2-positive (12.3%).³⁸

1.2. High breast cancer associated syndromes

There are several other rare cancer predisposing syndromes associated with an increased breast cancer risk.³⁹ The most common syndromes are Li–Fraumeni syndrome,⁴⁰ Peutz–Jeghers syndrome (PJS), Cowden syndrome (CS) and Nijmegen breakage syndrome (NBS) and Lynch syndrome.

Breast cancer associated with mutation in TP53 gene and mutation at CHK2 is frequently associated with Li–Fraumeni syndrome.⁴¹ The loss of heterozygosity at the CHK2 gene (at chromosome 22q) was associated with 53% of the breast cancers.⁴² In Saudi Arabia, the prevalence of TP53 gene mutation was found to be 40%, which lies amongst the highest values worldwide, and about 73% of these patients with TP53 genes mutations were found with < 50 years of age.⁴³ However, this high prevalence is due to somatic alterations, not germline mutations. The high proportion of these mutations localized in exon 4 of the gene. The majority of the mutations were identified in exons 4 and 5 (12 mutations, representing 29%, in each). However, only 4 mutations were identified in exon 7, while exons 6 and 8,9 harbored 6 and 8 mutations, respectively. This finding shows that exon 4 is a hot-spot for TP53 mutations in the Saudi Arabian population. Furthermore, 9 of the 47 mutations were found within the conserved regions (II, III, IV and V) of the TP53 gene.⁴³

Breast cancer associated with germline mutation in STK11 (LKB) gene on chromosome 19p is commonly linked to PJS and causing polyposis syndrome.^{44,45} STK11 gene encode a tumor suppressor enzyme (serine kinase 11), which promotes apoptosis, support polarization of tissues and define a cell energy.⁴⁶ Moreover, loss of heterozygosity on chromosome p19 is usually associated with familial breast cancer.⁴⁷ However, there was only one study from Saudi Arabia linked this gene mutation to gestational diabetes mellitus.⁴⁸

CS is associated with inherited autosomal dominant germline mutation in Phosphatase and Tensin homology (PTEN) gene, which is a tumor suppressor gene. PTEN gene mutation is associated with up to 50% of breast cancers, particularly among elder population.^{41,45}

PTEN gene encode an essential tumor suppressor in the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway.⁴⁹ A sequence analysis of PTEN in BRCA1/BRCA2 mutation-negative individuals failed to detect mutations in the coding region of PTEN, however four variants in intronic sequences were found that do not seem to alter RNA splicing or PTEN protein levels.⁵⁰ On the other hand, it was found that PTEN expressing loss in basal like breast cancer is associated with somatic PTEN coding mutations in BRCA1 wild type, and in PTEN mutations related to intragenic chromosome breaks, inversions, deletions and microcopy number aberrations in heterozygous BRCA1 mutation carriers.⁵¹ However, patients attending with CS without PTEN disorder may be linked to genetic heterogeneity. In 22 patients with CS and without PTEN pathogenic variant, the whole-exome sequencing revealed no novel candidate gene, but two patients revealed the presence of previously undescribed Alu insertions with the same break points in exon 5. Notably, such insertions were not found in breast carcinomas that showed a loss of PTEN expression without a detectable alteration of PTEN gene.⁵² No such report from Saudi Arabia.

NBS is an autosomal recessive syndrome associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (3 folds), particularly for heterozygous female carriers.^{53,54} NBS is linked to chromosomal instability, which represent as a predisposition to several cancers. Mutations in NBS known to be involved in DNA repair.^{54,55}

Lynch syndrome (Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC)) is an autosomal dominant genetic disorder that has a high risk of colon cancer as well as other cancers due to inherited mutations in mismatch repair (MMR) genes. The frequently reported altered genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and MLH3, other MMR-associated genes.⁵⁶

Some studies reported a higher breast cancer risk in HNPCC,^{54,56} whereas, other studies showed no or only a slightly elevated breast cancer risk.^{57,58} No such report from Saudi Arabia.

The E-cadherin gene (CDH1) encode an intercellular adhesion molecule in epithelial cells found in chromosome 16q22. Loss of CDH1 expression usually associated with abnormal CDH1 hypermethylation, which occurs in high frequencies in infiltrating breast cancers. There is significant variations in tumor-associated CDH1 gene methylation patterns related to tumor grade, tumor size, nodal involvement and age at diagnosis of breast tumors, which could be extended in future to afford diagnostic and prognostic benefits.⁵⁹

Neurofibromin 1 Gene (NF1) is associated with an autosomal dominant genetic disorder causing neurofibromatosis type 1. NF1 (tumor suppressor) is a negative regulator of RAS oncogene, which block ras that stimulate cell proliferation.⁶⁰ NF1 gene mutation was found to lead to moderately elevated risk of breast cancer.⁶¹ Beside an increased incidence of breast cancer, NF1 was found to be associated with poor survival, thus necessitate the need for active follow-up for breast cancer in women with NF1.⁶² Recent study has shown that, the risk of breast cancer among women with NF1

mutation relatively elevate among those under age of 50 years old.⁶³ To explain the poor survival No such report from Saudi Arabia.

1.3. DNA repair associated genes

Breast cancer predisposition genes in high-risk families focused on genes involved in DNA repair such as *CHEK2*, *RAD50*, *BRIP1* and *PALB2*. Homozygous mutations in *BRCA2* that related to DNA repair and predisposition to breast cancer was linked to some autosomal disorders, such as Fanconi anaemia or other rare autosomal recessive^{64,65}. Mutations in genes associated with an elevated breast cancer risk in monoallelic mutation carriers cause unusual subgroups of Fanconi anaemia, if both alleles are influenced.^{65,66}

The *ATM* gene; is located at 11q22.3,⁶⁷ and encodes a protein kinase that plays a significant role in the activation of cellular responses to DNA double-strand breaks through subsequent phosphorylation of central players in the DNA damage-response pathway. *ATM* mutations are recognized to cause ataxia telangiectasia and a susceptibility to malignancy.^{68,69}

It was well confirmed that some specific variants in the *ATM* gene are associated with increased breast cancer risk.⁶⁹ Amplified breast cancer risk in heterozygous mutation carrier females has been controversial for many years.^{68,70} Previous large epidemiological and molecular studies have provided conclusive evidence that *ATM* mutations that cause ataxia-telangiectasia are breast cancer susceptibility alleles.⁷⁰ *ATM* is classified as a breast cancer susceptibility gene with intermediate penetrance.⁶⁸

***CHEK2* gene, encode** checkpoint kinase 2 protein product, which is localized in chromosome 22q12.1.⁷¹ *CHEK2* appears as an essential signal transducer of cellular responses to DNA damage and a candidate tumor suppressor whose defects contribute to molecular pathogenesis of miscellaneous malignancies, both sporadic and hereditary.⁷² The *CHEK2* mutation deliberates to some extent increased breast cancer risk, but in a familial breast cancer setting this risk is between 35 and 55% for first degree female carriers. Female breast cancer patients with the *CHEK2**1100delC mutation are at increased risk of contralateral breast cancer and may have a less favorable prognosis. Female heterozygous *CHEK2**1100delC mutation carriers are offered annual mammography and specialist breast surveillance between the ages of 35–60 years.^{73,74} No such report from Saudi Arabia.

BRIP1 gene encode *BRCA1* interacting C-terminal helicase 1, which is a target of germline cancer-promoting mutations particularly in the BRCT repeats of *BRCA1*. Consequently it is vital for the ordinary double-strand repair function of *BRCA1*. *BRIP1* has been suggested to be a low-penetrance breast cancer predisposing gene.⁷⁵ Although, *BRIP1* gene mutation has been linked to a moderate risk for ovarian cancer, but the role of *BRIP1* gene in the pathogenesis of breast cancer is still controversial, though minor effects cannot be excluded.⁷⁶ Moreover, a recent study has suggested that *BRIP1* can plausibly have an oncogenic role in sporadic cancers.⁷⁷

PALB2 gene, which binds and localizes *BRCA2* in DNA Repair, is associated with about 2% familial breast cancer.⁷⁸ *PALB2* mutation is recognized as a moderate-risk breast cancer predisposition gene.^{79,80} *PALB2* (*FANCN*) and *BRCA2* (*FANCD1*) are Fanconi anemia (FA) genes that function in the FA-Breast Cancer DNA repair pathway.⁸¹ The *PALB2* gene product functions as a tumor suppressor and interacts closely with both *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* during double-strand DNA repair.⁸² *PALB2* gene mutation carriers seem to have a two-to four-fold relative risk of developing breast cancer; nevertheless, these risk estimates may be even higher in those patients with a family history of breast cancer.^{79,83} *PALB2*-related breast cancers show a more aggressive tumor phenotype,

comprising triple-negative disease, higher tumor grade and higher Ki67 expression.⁸⁴ Approximately 40% of the *PALB2*-related breast cancers recognized to date show a triple-negative phenotype, irrespective of the specific *PALB2* mutation.⁸⁵

***RAD50* gene:** The protein complex including Mre11, Rad50, and Nbs1 (MRN) functions in DNA double-strand break repair to identify and process DNA ends as well as signal for cell cycle arrest. Amino acid sequence similarity and overall architecture make *RAD50* a member of the structural maintenance of chromosome (SMC) protein family.⁸⁶ Functional studies reveal that DNA binding to *RAD50* is not critical for DNA double-strand break repair but is essential for telomere maintenance.⁸⁷ *RAD50* gene mutation usually stop the cells (with damaged DNA) from repairing damaged DNA. Therefore, it has been related to a greater risk of breast cancer in some families.⁸⁸ No such report from Saudi Arabia.

1.4. Susceptibility polymorphisms (SNPs) within genes

In recent years, a number of studies have reported that some gene polymorphisms could influence the susceptibility to breast cancer, such as *FGFR2*, *TOX3*, *LSP1*, *MAP3K1*, and *TGFB1*.

Genomic wide association studies (GWAS) have identified low penetrance and high frequency SNPs that contribute to genetic susceptibility of breast cancer.

***FGFR2* gene:** fibroblast growth factor receptor.2 (*FGFR2*) gene, one of the common low-penetrant genes, has been recognized as a potential breast cancer predisposition gene, which encodes a tyrosine kinase receptor that is a member of the family of exclusively distinctive FGFRs involved in tumorigenesis. *FGFR2* is amplified and overexpressed in breast cancer.^{89–91} Results of a meta-analysis indicated that five functional polymorphisms (rs2981579, rs2981582, rs1219648, rs2420946, and rs2912778) in the promoter of *FGFR2* gene are associated with breast cancer susceptibility.⁹² No such report from Saudi Arabia.

***TOX3* gene:** The human genomic locus for the transcription factor *TOX3* has been implicated in susceptibility to restless legs syndrome and breast cancer in genome-wide association studies, but the physiological role of *TOX3* remains largely unknown.⁹³ *TOX3* gene mutation (single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)) was reported to be associated with breast cancer with strong association with ER-positive tumors. Therefore, *TOX3* has a strong correlation with the development of breast cancer.⁹⁴ GWAS have recognized low penetrance and high frequency SNPs that contribute to genetic susceptibility of breast cancer. The SNPs at 16q12, close to the *TOX3* and *CASC16* genes, represent one of the susceptibility loci identified by GWAS, displaying strong evidence for breast cancer association across various populations.⁹⁵ No such report from Saudi Arabia.

***LSP1* gene** is located on chromosome11p15.5 and expressed in endothelial and hematopoietic cells.^{96,97} *LSP1* rs569550 and rs592373 polymorphisms may be closely correlated with the occurrence of breast cancer.⁹⁸ *LSP1* is associated with many other conditions rather than breast cancer.^{99–101} However, the association between *LSP1* polymorphisms and the development of breast cancer was infrequently reported. No such report from Saudi Arabia.

***MAP3K1* gene:** the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 1 (*MAP3K1*) gene provides instructions for making a protein that helps regulate signaling pathways that control various processes in the body.¹⁰² *MAP3K1* mutations were reported in a variety of cancers with breast cancer being the most frequent one. However, the presence of *MAP3K1* in different malignancies with various phenotypes can inspire possible therapeutic targeting of cancer cell associated with gained or lost function of *MAP3K1*.¹⁰³ No such report from Saudi Arabia.

TGFB1 gene: The transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF β -1) controls the cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, motility and the self-destruction of cells (apoptosis).¹⁰⁴ TGF- β , a super family of growth factors has shown implicated in the regulation of cellular and molecular processes which have involved in cancer initiation and promotion.¹⁰⁵ The double influence of TGF- β 1 on the carcinogenesis such tumor suppressor in initial phases and tumor promotion and metastasis spread in advanced stages of breast cancer has been proved in several studies.^{106–112}

Mutations in the *NBN* gene, which commonly result in Nijmegen breakage syndrome, which may increase the susceptibility to many diseases, including breast cancer.¹¹³ *NBN* are intermediate-risk breast cancer susceptibility genes.¹¹⁴ No such report from Saudi Arabia.

In conclusion: The majority of cases of breast cancer now a days might be due to a mutation in one or more of the highly penetrant breast cancer genes (*BRCA1* *BRCA2*, *TP53*, *STK11*, *PTEN*, *CDH1*, *NF1* or *NBN* mutations). Mutations in DNA repair associated genes (*ATM*, *CHEK2*, *BRIP1*, *PALB2* and *RAD50*) are associated with elevated breast cancer risk. SNPs were considered as breast cancer susceptibility polymorphisms within genes (*FGFR2*, *TOX3*, *LSP1*, *MAP3K1*, and *TGFB1*). Risk prediction models incorporating family history, lifestyle factors and available genetic information may permit suitable preventive management. Screening programs based on genetic information will lead to diminished breast cancer related mortality. Mutation testing presently needs a high index of suspicion for a specific donating etiology, but next-generation sequencing may improve the detection of such genes with their appropriate clinical management. In Saudi Arabia, with exception of *BRCA1* *BRCA2*, *TP53*, there is a complete absence of literature regarding the susceptibility of these genes. Therefore, we hope that this review can stimulate further studies to assess the exact burden of these genes in the etiology of breast cancer in Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of interest

Author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Allen C, et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 32 cancer groups, 1990 to 2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017 Apr 1;3(4):524–548. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.5688>.
- Chollet-Hinton L, Anders CK, Tse C-K, et al. Breast cancer biologic and etiologic heterogeneity by young age and menopausal status in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study: a case-control study. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2016;18:79. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-016-0736-y>.
- Turkoz FP, Solak M, Petekkaya I, et al. Association between common risk factors and molecular subtypes in breast cancer patients. *Breast.* 2013 Jun;22(3):344–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.breast.2012.08.005>.
- Kurian AW, Hare EE, Mills MA, et al. Clinical evaluation of a multiple-gene sequencing panel for hereditary cancer risk assessment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(19):2001–2009.
- Shiovitz S, Korde LA. Genetics of breast cancer: a topic in evolution. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(7):1291–1299. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdv022>.
- Meads C, Ahmed I, Riley RD. A systematic review of breast cancer incidence risk prediction models with meta-analysis of their performance. *Breast Canc Res Treat.* 2012;132:365–377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-011-1818-2>.
- Semlali A, Almutairi M, Parine NR, et al. No genetic relationship between *TLR2* rs4696480, rs3804100, and rs3804099 gene polymorphisms and female breast cancer in Saudi populations. *OncoTargets Ther.* 2017;10:2325–2333. <https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S121618>.
- Walker LC, Waddell N, Ten Haaf A, Investigators kConFab, Grimmond S, Spurdle AB. Use of expression data and the CGEMS genome-wide breast cancer association study to identify genes that may modify risk in *BRCA1/2* mutation carriers. *Breast Canc Res Treat.* 2008 Nov;112(2):229–236.
- Bradbury AR, Olopade OJ. Genetic susceptibility to breast cancer. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord.* 2007;8:255–267.
- Wooster R, Weber BL. Breast and ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:2339–2347.
- Nathanson KL, Wooster R, Weber BL. Breast cancer genetics: what we know and what we need. *Nat Med.* 2001;7:552–556.
- Meindl A. Comprehensive analysis of 989 patients with breast or ovarian cancer provides *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation profiles and frequencies for the German population. *Int J Cancer.* 2002;97:472–480, 6.
- Turnbull C, Rahman N. Genetic predisposition to breast cancer: past, present, and future. *Annu Rev Genom Hum Genet.* 2008;9:321–345.
- Hall JM, Lee MK, Newman B, et al. Linkage of early-onset familial breast cancer to chromosome 17q21. *Science.* 1990;250:1684–1689.
- Wooster R, Neuhausen SL, Mangion J, et al. Localization of a breast cancer susceptibility gene, *BRCA2*, to chromosome 13q12–13. *Science.* 1994;265:2088–2090.
- Rakha EA, Reis-Filho JS, Ellis IO. Basal-like breast cancer: a critical review. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26:2568–2581.
- Lakhani SR, Van De Vijver MJ, Jacquemier J, et al. The pathology of familial breast cancer: predictive value of immunohistochemical markers estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, HER-2, and p53 in patients with mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20:2310–2318.
- Stratton MR, Rahman N. The emerging landscape of breast cancer susceptibility. *Nat Genet.* 2008;40:17–22.
- King MC, Marks JH, Mandell JB, New York Breast Cancer Study Group. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. *Science.* 2003;302:643–646.
- Lindor NM, McMaster ML, Lindor CJ, et al. Concise handbook of familial cancer susceptibility syndromes—second edition. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* 2008;1–93.
- Liede A, Karlan BY, Narod SA. Cancer risks for male carriers of germline mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*: a review of the literature. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22:735–742.
- Kuchenbaecker KB, Hopper JL, Barnes DR, et al. Risks of breast, ovarian, and contralateral breast cancer for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers. *J Am Med Assoc.* 2017;317(23):2402–2416. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.7112>.
- Merdad A, Gari MA, Hussein S, et al. Characterization of familial breast cancer in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Genomics.* 2015;16(suppl 1):S3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-16-S1-S3>.
- Alhuqail AJ, Alzahrani A, Almubarak H, et al. High prevalence of deleterious *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* germline mutations in arab breast and ovarian cancer patients. *Breast Canc Res Treat.* 2018 Apr;168(3):695–702. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-017-4635-4>.
- Calhoun BC, Collins LC. Predictive markers in breast cancer: an update on ER and HER2 testing and reporting. *Semin Diagn Pathol.* 2015 Sep;32(5):362–369. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semmp.2015.02.011>.
- Myburgh EJ, Langenhoven L, Grant KA, van der Merwe L, Kotze MJ. Clinical overestimation of HER2 positivity in early estrogen and progesterone receptor-positive breast cancer and the value of molecular subtyping using BluePrint. *J Glob Oncol.* 2017;3(4):314–322. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JGO.2016.006072>.
- Lim E, Tarulli G, Portman N, Hickey TE, Tilley WD, Palmieri C. Pushing estrogen receptor around in breast cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer.* 2016 Dec;23(12):T227–T241.
- Schettini F, Buono G, Cardalesi C, Desideri I, De Placido S, Del Mastro L. Hormone Receptor/Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-positive breast cancer: where we are now and where we are going. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2016 May;46:20–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.03.012>.
- Sunami E, Shinozaki M, Sim M-S, et al. Estrogen receptor and HER2/neu status affect epigenetic differences of tumor-related genes in primary breast tumors. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2008;10(3):R46. <https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2098>.
- Bae YK, Brown A, Garrett E, et al. Hypermethylation in histologically distinct classes of breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004;10:5998–6005. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0667>.
- Issa JP. DNA methylation as a therapeutic target in cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13:1634–1637. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2076>.
- Widschwendter M, Siegmund KD, Muller HM, et al. Association of breast cancer DNA methylation profiles with hormone receptor status and response to tamoxifen. *Cancer Res.* 2004;64:3807–3813. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-03-3852>.
- El-Harith el-HA, Abdel-Hadi MS, Steinmann D, Dork T. *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations in breast cancer patients from Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2002 Jun;23(6):700–704.
- Hasan TN, Shafi G, Syed NA, Alsaif MA, Alsaif AA, Alshatwi AA. Lack of association of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants with breast cancer in an ethnic population of Saudi Arabia, an emerging high-risk area. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2013;14(10):5671–5674.
- Merdad A, Gari MA, Hussein S, et al. Characterization of familial breast cancer in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Genomics.* 2015;16(suppl 1):S3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-16-S1-S3>.
- Amemiya Y, Bacopulos S, Al-Shawarby M. A comparative analysis of breast and ovarian cancer-related gene mutations in Canadian and Saudi arabian patients with breast cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2015 May;35(5):2601–2610.
- Khabaz MN. Immunohistochemistry subtypes (ER/PR/HER) of breast cancer: where do we stand in the West of Saudi Arabia? *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2014;15(19):8395–8400.
- Alnegheimish NA, Alshatwi RA, Alhefthi RM, Arafah MM, AIRikabi AC, Husain S. Molecular subtypes of breast carcinoma in Saudi Arabia: a

- retrospective study. *Saudi Med J*. 2016;37(5):506–512. <https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2016.5.15000>.
39. Bradbury AR, Olopade OI. Genetic susceptibility to breast cancer. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*. 2007;8:255–267.
 40. Garber JE, Goldstein AM, Kantor AF, Dreyfus MG, Fraumeni Jr JF, Li FP. Follow-up study of twenty-four families with Li–Fraumeni syndrome. *Cancer Res*. 1991;51:6094–6097.
 41. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Genetic/familial High Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian. Version 1. 2008 www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/genetics_screening.pdf.
 42. Ingvarsson S, Sigbjornsdottir BI, Huiping C, et al. Mutation analysis of the CHK2 gene in breast carcinoma and other cancers. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2002;4(3):R4.
 43. Al-Qasem Aj, Toulimat M, Eldali Am, et al. TP53 genetic alterations in Arab breast cancer patients: novel mutations, pattern and distribution. *Oncol Lett*. 2011;2(2):363–369. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2011.236>.
 44. Bogdanova N, Feshchenko S, Schurmann P, et al. Nijmegen breakage syndrome mutations and risk of breast cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2007;122:802–806.
 45. Hearle N, Schumacher V, Menko FH, et al. Frequency and spectrum of cancers in the Peutz–Jeghers syndrome. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2006;12:3209–3215.
 46. Lynch HT, Lynch JF, Lynch PM, Attard T. Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes: molecular genetics, genetic counseling, diagnosis and management. *Fam Cancer*. 2008;7:27–39.
 47. Hardie DG, Alessi DR, LKB1 and AMPK and the cancer–metabolism link - ten years after. *BMC Biol*. 2013 Apr 15;11:36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-11-36>.
 48. Chen J, Lindblom A. Germline mutation screening of the STK11/LKB1 gene in familial breast cancer with LOH on 19p. *Clin Genet*. 2000 May;57(5):394–397.
 49. Alharbi KK, Khan IA, Eldesouky MH, Al-Hakeem MM, Abotalib Z. The genetic polymorphism in the STK11 does not affect gestational diabetes. *Acta Biochim Pol*. 2015;62(3):569–572. https://doi.org/10.18388/abp.2015_1025.
 50. Guenard F, Labrie Y, Ouellette G, et al. Germline mutations in the breast cancer susceptibility gene PTEN are rare in high-risk nonBRCA1/2 French Canadian breast cancer families. *Fam Cancer*. 2007;6:483–490.
 51. Saal LH, Gruvberger-Saal SK, Persson C, et al. Recurrent gross mutations of the PTEN tumor suppressor gene in breast cancers with deficient DSB repair. *Nat Genet*. 2008;40:102–107.
 52. Crivelli L, Bubián V, Jones N, et al. Insertion of Alu elements at a PTEN hotspot in Cowden syndrome. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2017 May 17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2017.81>.
 53. Vasen HF, Morreau H, Nortier JW. Is breast cancer part of the tumor spectrum of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer? *Am J Hum Genet*. 2001;68:1533–1535.
 54. Walsh T, King MC. Ten genes for inherited breast cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2007;11:103–105.
 55. Risinger JI, Barrett JC, Watson P, Lynch HT, Boyd J. Molecular genetic evidence of the occurrence of breast cancer as an integral tumor in patients with the hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma syndrome. *Cancer*. 1996;77:1836–1843.
 56. Geary J, Sasiemi P, Houlston R, et al. Gene-related cancer spectrum in families with hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). *Fam Cancer*. 2008;7:163–172.
 57. Watson P, Vasen HF, Mecklin JP, et al. The risk of extra-colonic, extra-endometrial cancer in the Lynch syndrome. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;123:444–449.
 58. Howlett NG. Fanconi anemia: Fanconi anemia, breast and embryonal cancer risk revisited. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2007;15:715–717.
 59. Lu J-Y, Sheng J-Q. Advances in the study of Lynch syndrome in China. *World J Gastroenterol*. WJG. 2015;21(22):6861–6871. <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i22.6861>.
 60. Carroll SL, Stonecypher MS. Tumor suppressor mutations and growth factor signaling in the pathogenesis of NF1-associated peripheral nerve sheath tumors: II. The role of dysregulated growth factor signaling. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 2005 Jan;64(1):1–9.
 61. Sharif S, Moran A, Huson SM, et al. Women with neurofibromatosis 1 are at a moderately increased risk of developing breast cancer and should be considered for early screening. *J Med Genet*. 2007;44:481–484.
 62. Uusitalo E, Kallionpää RA, Kurki S, et al. Breast cancer in neurofibromatosis type 1: overrepresentation of unfavourable prognostic factors. *Br J Canc*. 2017;116(2):211–217. <https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2016.403>.
 63. Wang X, Teer JK, Tousignant RN, et al. Breast cancer risk and germline genomic profiling of women with neurofibromatosis type 1 who developed breast cancer. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2018 Jan;57(1):19–27. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gcc.22503>.
 64. Wang W. Emergence of a DNA–damage response network consisting of Fanconi anaemia and BRCA proteins. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2007;8:735–748.
 65. Hakem R. DNA–damage repair; the good, the bad, and the ugly. *EMBO J*. 2008;27:589–605.
 66. D'Andrea AD, Grompe M. The Fanconi anaemia/BRCA pathway. *Nat Rev Canc*. 2003;3:23–34.
 67. Savitsky K, Bar-Shira A, Gilad S, et al. A single ataxia telangiectasia gene with a product similar to PI-3 kinase. *Science*. 1995;268:1749–1753.
 68. Renwick A, Thompson D, Seal S, et al. ATM mutations that cause ataxia-telangiectasia are breast cancer susceptibility alleles. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38:873–875.
 69. Goldgar DE, Healey S, Dowty JG, et al. Rare variants in the ATM gene and risk of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2011;13(4):R73. <https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2919>.
 70. Ahmed M, Rahman N. ATM and breast cancer susceptibility. *Oncogene*. 2006;25:5906–5911.
 71. Bartek J, Falck J, Lukas J. CHK2 kinase—a busy messenger. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2001;2:877–886.
 72. Nevanlinna H, J Bartek J. The CHEK2 gene and inherited breast cancer susceptibility. *Oncogene*. 2006;25:5912–5919. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1209877>.
 73. Adank MA, Hes FJ, van Zelst-Stams WA, van den Tol MP, Seynaeve C, Oosterwijk JC. CHEK2-mutation in Dutch breast cancer families: expanding genetic testing for breast cancer. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk*. 2015;159:A8910.
 74. Leedom TP, LaDuca H, McFarland R, Li S, Dolinsky JS, Chao EC. Breast cancer risk is similar for CHEK2 founder and non-founder mutation carriers. *Cancer Genet*. 2016 Sep;209(9):403–407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cancergen.2016.08.005>.
 75. De Nicolo A, Tancredi M, Lombardi G, et al. A novel breast cancer-associated BRIP1 (FANCI/BACH1) germ line mutation impairs protein stability and function. *Clin Cancer Res: Off J Amer Assoc Canc Res*. 2008;14(14):4672–4680. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0087>.
 76. Weber-Lassalle N, Hauke J, Ramser J, et al. BRIP1 loss-of-function mutations confer high risk for familial ovarian cancer, but not familial breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2018;20:7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-018-0935-9>.
 77. Gupta I, Ouhit A, Al-Ajmi A, et al. BRIP1 overexpression is correlated with clinical features and survival outcome of luminal breast cancer subtypes. *Endocrine Connections*. 2018;7(1):65–77. <https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0173>.
 78. Hofstatter EW, Domchek SM, Miron A, et al. PALB2 mutations in familial breast and pancreatic cancer. *Fam Cancer*. 2011;10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10689-011-9426-1>, [10.1007/s10689-011-9426-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10689-011-9426-1).
 79. Rahman N, Seal S, Thompson D, et al. PALB2, which encodes a BRCA2-interacting protein, is a breast cancer susceptibility gene. *Nat Genet*. 2007;39:165–167. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.1959>.
 80. Xia B, Sheng Q, Nakanishi K, et al. Control of BRCA2 cellular and clinical functions by a nuclear partner, PALB2. *Mol Cell*. 2006;22:719–729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2006.05.022>.
 81. Reid S, Schindler D, Hanenberg H, et al. Biallelic mutations in PALB2 cause Fanconi anemia subtype FA-N and predispose to childhood cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2007;39:162–164. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1947>.
 82. Zhang F, Ma J, Wu J, et al. PALB2 Links BRCA1 and BRCA2 in the DNA-damage response. *Curr Biol*. 2009;19:524–529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.018>.
 83. Byrnes GB, Southey MC, Hopper JL. Are the so-called low penetrance breast cancer genes, ATM, BRIP1, PALB2 and CHEK2, high risk for women with strong family histories? *Breast Cancer Res*. 2008;10:208–214. <https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2099>.
 84. Heikkinen T, Karkkainen H, Aaltonen K, et al. The breast cancer susceptibility mutation PALB2 1592delT is associated with an aggressive tumor phenotype. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;15:3214–3222. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-3128>.
 85. Tischkowitz M, Xia B. PALB2/FANCN: recombining cancer and Fanconi anemia. *Cancer Res*. 2010;70:7353–7359. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1012>.
 86. Kinoshita E, van der Linden E, Sanchez H, Wyman C. RAD50, an SMC family member with multiple roles in DNA break repair: how does ATP affect function? *Chromosome Res: Int J Mol Supramol Evol Aspects Chromosome Biol*. 2009;17(2):277–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-008-9018-6>.
 87. Rojowska A, Lammens K, Seifert FU, Drenthberger C, Feldmann H, Hopfner K-P. Structure of the Rad50 DNA double-strand break repair protein in complex with DNA. *EMBO J*. 2014;33(23):2847–2859. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201488889>.
 88. Hsu HM, Wang HC, Chen ST, Hsu GC, Shen CY, Yu JC. Breast cancer risk is associated with the genes encoding the DNA double-strand break repair Mre11/Rad50/Nbs1 complex. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev*. 2007 Oct;16(10):2024–2032.
 89. Moffa AB, Tannheimer SL, Ethier SP. Transforming potential of alternatively spliced variants of fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 in human mammary epithelial cells. *Mol Canc Res*. 2004;2:643–652.
 90. Grose R, Dickson C. Fibroblast growth factor signaling in tumorigenesis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev*. 2005;16:179–186.
 91. Moffa AB, Ethier SP. Differential signal transduction of alternatively spliced FGFR2 variants expressed in human mammary epithelial cells. *J Cell Physiol*. 2007;210:720–731.
 92. Yang YB, Zhao ZX, Huang W, Liu H, Tan YL, Wang WM. Association between fibroblast growth factor receptor-2 gene polymorphism and risk of breast cancer in Chinese populations: a HuGe review and meta-analysis. *J Can Res Ther*. 2016;12:543–549.
 93. Sahu SK, Fritz A, Tiwari N, et al. TOX3 regulates neural progenitor identity. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2016 Jul;1859(7):833–840. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagr.2016.04.005>.
 94. Han C-C, Yue L-L, Yang Y, Jian B-Y, Ma L-W, Liu J-C. TOX3 protein expression is correlated with pathological characteristics in breast cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2016;11(3):1762–1768. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2016.4117>.
 95. Han Y-J, Zhang J, Zheng Y, Huo D, Olopade OI. Genetic and epigenetic regulation of TOX3 expression in breast cancer/Suzuki H, et al. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(11):e0165559. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165559>.
 96. Easton DF, Pooley KA, Dunning AM, et al. Genome-wide association study

- identifies novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nature*. 2007;447:1087–1093.
97. Jongstra-Bilen J, Jongstra J. Leukocyte-specific protein 1 (LSP1): a regulator of leukocyte emigration in inflammation. *Immunol Res*. 2006;35:65–74.
 98. Chen H, Qi X, Qiu P, Zhao J. Correlation between LSP1 polymorphisms and the susceptibility to breast cancer. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol*. 2015;8(5):5798–5802.
 99. Jongstra-Bilen J, Jongstra J. Leukocyte-specific protein 1 (LSP1): a regulator of leukocyte emigration in inflammation. *Immunol Res*. 2006;35:65–74.
 100. Smith AL, Ganesh L, Leung K, Jongstra-Bilen J, Jongstra J, Nabel GJ. Leukocyte-specific protein 1 interacts with DC-SIGN and mediates transport of HIV to the proteasome in dendritic cells. *J Exp Med*. 2007;204:421–430.
 101. Koral K, Paranjpe S, Bowen WC, Mars W, Luo J, Michalopoulos GK. Leukocyte-specific protein 1: a novel regulator of hepatocellular proliferation and migration deleted in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology*. 2015;61:537–547.
 102. Pearlman A, Loke J, Le Caignec C, et al. Mutations in MAP3K1 cause 46,XY disorders of sex development and implicate a common signal transduction pathway in human testis determination. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2010;87(6):898–904. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.11.003>.
 103. Pham TT, Angus SP, Johnson GL. MAP3K1: genomic alterations in cancer and function in promoting cell survival or apoptosis. *Genes Canc*. 2013;4(11-12):419–426. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1947601913513950>.
 104. Campos-Xavier B, Saraiva JM, Savarirayan R, et al. Phenotypic variability at the TGF-beta1 locus in Camurati-Engelmann disease. *Hum Genet*. 2001 Dec;109(6):653–658.
 105. Imamura T, Hikita A, Inoue Y. The roles of TGF-beta signaling in carcinogenesis and breast cancer metastasis. *Breast Canc*. 2012;19(2):118–124. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12282-011-0321-2>.
 106. Lebrun JJ. The dual role of TGFβ in human cancer: from tumor suppression to cancer metastasis. *ISRN Molecul Biol*. 2012;7:1–28. <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/381428>.
 107. Shin A, Shu XO, Cai Q, Gao YT, Zheng W. Genetic polymorphisms of the transforming growth factor-beta1 gene and breast cancer risk: a possible dual role at different cancer stages. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev*. 2005;14(6):1567–1570. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-05-0078>.
 108. Pardali K, Moustakas A. Actions of TGF-b as tumor suppressor and prometastatic factor in human cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2007;1775:21–62.
 109. Inman GJ. Switching TGFbeta from a tumor suppressor to a tumor promoter. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 2011;21(1):93–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgde.2010.12.004>.
 110. Parvizi S, Mohammadzadeh G, Karimi M, Noorbehbahani M, Jafari A. Effects of two common promoter polymorphisms of transforming growth factor-β1 on breast cancer risks in ahvaz, west south of Iran. *Iran J Cancer Prev*. 2016;9(1):e5266. <https://doi.org/10.17795/ijcp-5266>.
 111. Yao Y, Zhao K, Yu Z, et al. Wogonoside inhibits invasion and migration through suppressing TRAF2/4 expression in breast cancer. *J Exp Clin Oncol Res*. 2017;36:103. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-017-0574-5>.
 112. Stope MB, Weiss M, Koensgen D, et al. Y-box binding protein-1 enhances oncogenic transforming growth factor β signaling in breast cancer cells via triggering phospho-activation of Smad 2. *Anticancer Res*. 2017 Dec;37(12):6745–6748.
 113. Uzunoglu H, Korak T, Ergul E, et al. Association of the nibrin gene (NBN) variants with breast cancer. *Biomed Rep*. 2016;4(3):369–373. <https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2016.579>.
 114. Damiola F, Pertesi M, Oliver J, et al. Rare key functional domain missense substitutions in MRE11A, RAD50, and NBN contribute to breast cancer susceptibility: results from a Breast Cancer Family Registry case-control mutation-screening study. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2014;16(3):R58. <https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr3669>.